

Splunk® for Enterprise Security

Defending against the next generation of cyber-attacks & threats

HIGHLIGHTS

- A new generation of cyber-attacks called Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs) has proven destructive and very costly.
- Traditional security technologies lack the data-driven techniques to thwart highly sophisticated, human-driven APTs.
- Splunk for Enterprise Security delivers the intelligence and analytics needed to detect and deter these and future exploits.

Today's Evolving Threat Landscape

Costly cyber-attacks are routinely in the news and many more go unreported. Many enterprises assume it's only a matter of time before they're hit. More troubling, victims are often large companies that amply invested in up-to-date security. Their defenses proved ineffective against a new generation of exploits called *Advanced Persistent Threats* (APTs).

These attacks are launched by criminal syndicates, nation states, and disgruntled insiders who have replaced joy-riding hackers and automated worms. These are cunning, resourceful and patient people who probe for vulnerabilities and learn from failures. They use valid credentials, making them very difficult to detect, and dynamically adapt to changing environments and defenses. Moreover, they're determined, exploiting new technologies, refining their techniques and innovating new ones.

An APT is not a single event or a rote technology exploit, but an attack transaction comprised of people, technologies and processes. It's a series of actions stealthily orchestrated across the IT infrastructure. The median number of days before an intrusion is detected is 229 and 67 percent of victims were alerted not by internal staff and tools but by customers, partners and even the FBI. The risks are great, the stakes high and the adversaries more formidable than ever.

The Kill Chain

Attackers follow a general pattern called the "kill chain." In Zeus attacks, for example, which are used to steal financial data, they start with *reconnaissance* to find weaknesses. In this case, they steal a vulnerable corporate PDF on a company's web portal. In the *delivery* phase, they embed executable code in the PDF and use phishing techniques until an employee opens it, thinking it's a legitimate file. *Exploitation* follows when the malware installs programs that establish communications with the intruders' *command and control* server. They're now inside and can move about freely to explore the environment. Finally, there are *actions on intent* as the criminals identify their targets and exfiltrate data that they conceal in routine outbound web traffic.

Nor does it end there. The environment remains compromised. The hackers will lurk about with valid credentials, prowling for targets that can be monetized on international black markets.

Until, and if, they are detected and expelled.

100% Percentage of attackers using valid credentials	40 Average number of systems accessed
229 Median number of days before detection	67% Percentage of victims notified by an external entity

Table 1: Characteristics of Advanced Persistent Threats.

Connecting the Dots

In today's threat landscape, enterprises require data-driven, operational intelligence to evaluate events holistically rather than separately. Pre-defined rules won't detect dynamic relationships of actions along the kill chain. Isolated, low-severity events, when correlated, could be a high-severity incident demanding immediate attention. Correlating data reveals the artifacts and evidence of infections, particularly when infected elements act normally or when stolen credentials are used. Noting a login after multiple failures is useful, but static rules won't reveal if malware was downloaded. Only when the entire security stack and other data sources are integrated will attributes, actions and interactions be revealed. Correlations must go beyond time- and event-based information to include location, phase and other data types. Rules-based SIEMs fail to discover next-generation exploits because APTs operate outside their functionality.

Visibility, Analysis & Action

Hardening the enterprise begins with *visibility* across the space where intruders might enter. Data from the network, endpoint and payload analysis components of the security stack are primary for analysis. To provide context, however, these data must be enriched with threat intelligence, asset and identity management to see who logs in and owns assets, and data from outside the stack. Data must be inclusive; don't filter out false positives. Amidst all the user- and machine-generated data lie the attacker's footprints.

As befitting the adversary, investigations call for depth and agility. For *analysis*, organizations must access data as needed, enrich this information and bring in historical data for baselines and perspectives. They need to pivot across multiple events or domains, from proxy to endpoint logs. They have to correlate any kind of information, including metadata and data from external sources. Lookups are critical, but the data sources are many and their output voluminous in both structured and unstructured formats. Cybercriminals leverage this complexity.

Only once events are connected and the attacker's processes and targets revealed can *action* must be taken to protect the enterprise. This will include changing endpoint and network configurations, blocking IP addresses, reimaging systems, changing policies, and sharing tactics and other intelligence.

Comprehensive Security Analytics

Enterprises that defend against APTs use security analytics platforms to unify visibility, analysis and action. They integrate all data types and enable searches, enrichment, contextualization, statistical analysis and reporting. They promptly respond when alerts notify them of unusual behavior. They have the tools,

